Annex 1: CVE Illustrative Indicators Exemplar

In this section, you will find a representative – not exhaustive - sampling of CVE indicators reflecting the CT Bureau's current lines of CVE programming. This list of illustrative indicators is intended to provide inspiration during the project design process. Indicators have been grouped by CVE Program-level results, with those results grouped by the type of indicator – output or outcome. Each project objective should have at least one output and one outcome indicator in order to be able to determine project progress and success.

RESULT: Alternatives for at-risk youth and communities embraced

Illustrative Output Indicators:

- Number of people from at-risk groups reached through project-supported activities
- Number of times community and government officials meet to address community/security issues (and number of these that are public)
- Number/percent of youth/women/men/people participating/trained in XXXX
- Percent increase in diversity of membership;
- Number of inter- and intra-faith dialogues facilitated
- Percent of individuals who report engaging with local power structures to effect change

Illustrative Outcome indicators:

- Number of community development projects successfully completed with project assistance that respond to community development plans
- Number of self-generated activities with CVE-relevant objectives in at-risk communities, neighborhoods, schools, etc.
- Change in percent of target group believing that peaceful and effective political channels exist for pursuing rights and interests
- Percent of youth who completed leadership training and are active participants in community events
- Increased level of civic awareness/activity among participating youth
- Reduced incidents of violence among target population

RESULT: Terrorist narratives and violent extremist worldview countered

Illustrative Output Indicators:

- Number/percent of youth/women/men/people participating/trained in XXXX
- Number of participants at each event or workshop versus anticipated number
- Number of respected leaders addressing topic of violent extremism
- Number of youth reached through radio/television civic education programming
- Percent of target group naming a spiritual mentor who is a VE

- Percent of citizens listening to TV/radio programs on peace and tolerance or number of listeners of TV/radio programs that espouse nonviolent messages.

Illustrative Outcome indicators:

- Percent increase of community members perceiving violence as illegitimate means for social change
- Percent increase of people who perceive peaceful/nonviolent ideologies as influential and meaningful and share this message
- Number of assisted radio stations/newspapers/media outlets producing own CVE content
- Surveys/opinion polls/focus groups/etc. indicate diminished support for VE groups, activities and ideologies
- Number of favorable mentions of project activities in media (if applicable)
- Percent increase of community members perceiving improved communication channels for youth to discuss sensitive topics

RESULT: Partner government and civil society CVE capacities built

Illustrative Output Indicators:

- Number/percent of youth/women/men/people participating/trained in XXXX
- Number of participants at each event or workshop versus anticipated number
- Number/percent of prison guards/officials trained in techniques for providing reintegration and rehabilitation
- Number of CSO CVE campaigns, activities or other projects

Illustrative Outcome indicators:

- Number of former/reformed VE individuals providing mentoring services to rehabilitating prisoners
- Number of CSOs demonstrating increased capacity to respond to community needs
- Number of prisoners provided services, skills training, basic and vocational education, etc. prior to or upon release from prison
- Percent of public, private, and civil society sector members stating collaboration with one another
- Change in percent of target group that believes that a) the regime/government and b) civil society are working to improve the welfare of the target community/groups
- Partner nations attend, participate in and host CVE conferences and workshops
- Partner nations sign on to documents that outline CVE good practices

Comprehensive List of Suggested Indicators

RESULT: Alternatives for at-risk youth and communities embraced

Percent of target group believing that there is active consultation by a) political leaders; and b) the government bureaucracy on community needs.
Percent of target group that feels that their group is marginalized by a) the larger society; and b) the regime/ government.
Avg. Number of hours of free time/week (time during which respondents are not working, in school or engaged in social activities that require their participation) (or Percent of youth saying they have little/nothing to do for X hours each week with the threshold of X to be determined in a given environment)
Degree of anger or resentment at a) the regime; and b) the larger society for ignoring or buttressing the marginalized status of the target group.
Focus group discussions with youth on how they and their friends are spending their time (e.g., where do they hang out, what do they do together)
Sense that a) the state; and b) the larger society do not care about the target group's welfare
Number of community development projects successfully completed with project assistance that respond to community development plans
Number of self-generated activities with CVE-relevant objectives in at-risk communities, neighborhoods, schools, etc.
Percent citizens in target area satisfied with local decision-making processes (disaggregated by age and target region)
Percent of community leaders (gov't, traditional, business, civil society) reporting satisfaction with sports/social/ cultural opportunities for youth
Percent of individuals who report that local government takes into account the opinions of citizens in local decision making processes
Percent of inter-faith dialogue participants stating willingness to engage in further dialogue with members of another religion
Percent of intra-faith dialogue participants stating willingness to engage in further dialogue with members of their faith
Percent of target group believing that peaceful and effective political channels exist for pursuing rights and interests
Change in Percent of target group that believes that VE groups play an important role in delivering needed economic or other social goods and services to the community
Change in Percent of target group who believe VE groups are defending Islam or defending the Muslim community

Percent of target group who spend more than X hours involved in a constructive social, cultural or sports-related activity and who show enthusiasm for that activity (e.g., sports, youth clubs, volunteer work; it will be critical to understand who sponsors the activity)
Percent of youth stating that their opinions are respected by community leaders
Percentages of people reporting positive trust in district government, provincial government and national government
Increased level of civic awareness/activity among participating youth
Number of youth reporting less frustrated with and more trustful of gov't agencies
Number of youth reporting they are better supported and represented by youth organizations
Number of youth/sports/etc. clubs established
Percent change of community members claiming that mentoring and counseling were crucial in helping them overcome personal issues
Percent of citizens participating in local decision-making processes
Percent of citizens stating youth/youth groups make positive contributions to society
Percent of community leaders stating that youth participate constructively in community decision-making processes
Percent of community members satisfied with access to services and resources (disaggregated by age and target region)
Percent of individuals who report engaging with local power structures to effect change successfully
Percent of participating youth feeling capable of leading other youth
Percent of public, private, and civil society sector members stating collaboration with one another
Percent of youth reporting satisfaction with sports/social/cultural opportunities
Percent of youth stating positive changes in their communities as a result of their participation in civic activities
Percent of youth who completed leadership training and are active participants in community events
Percent youth reporting less frustration with/more satisfaction with government agencies
Number of people from at-risk groups reached through project-supported activities
Number of times community and government officials meet to address community/security issues (and Number of these that are public)
Number/Percent of youth/women/men/people participating/trained in XXXX
Number of participants at each event or workshop versus anticipated number
Number of citizens participating in district council meetings or its local equivalent

Number of community events held to discuss local governance issues
Number of community members receiving counseling and mentoring services
Number of community projects implemented by youth
Number of dialogue forums held on key issues
Number of hours of community service donated by youth
Number of initiatives jointly implemented by entities from two different sectors
Number of inter- and intra-faith dialogues facilitated
Number of projects completed with community involvement
Number of public information campaigns conducted
Number of visits and community engagements by provincial and district officials
Number of youth trained in professional skills building
Number of youth trained in work readiness program
Number of youth trained in work readiness program and working, i.e. entrepreneurship, vocational training
Percent of individuals who report engaging with local power structures to effect change
Percent of people who participate in resolving community problems
Percent of target population indicating that they have attended sessions in which they received information and could voice their concerns and desires
Percent of youth actively involved in youth/sports/theater/etc. clubs
Percent of youth feeling better prepared to enter job market
Percent of youth involved in one or more extracurricular activities such as sports, theatre, volunteering
Percent of youth participating in civic activities
Percent of youth (by age cohort) saying that they have access to (and take part in) constructive social opportunities

RESULT: Terrorist narratives and violent extremist worldview countered

Number of assisted radio stations/newspapers/media outlets producing own CVE content
Number of hours of CVE material produced, broadcast and rebroadcast by XXXX
Number of favorable mentions of project activities in media (if applicable)
Percent increase of community members perceiving violence as illegitimate means for social change

Percent increase of people who perceive moderate ideologies as influential and meaningful and share moderate messages
Surveys/opinion polls/focus groups/etc. indicate diminished support for VE groups, activities and ideologies
Percent increase of people who perceive moderate ideologies as influential and meaningful
Number of moderate leaders participating in project activities delivering messages more effectively
Percent increase of community members perceiving improved communication channels for youth to discuss sensitive topics
Percent increase of people who perceive moderate ideologies as influential and meaningful
Percent increase of imams, previously known to hold (violent)extremist opinions, more predisposed to disseminate messages of non-violence & tolerance
Percent of citizens declaring the messages of moderate voices as attractive
Percent of citizens perceiving increased flow of information on peace and tolerance
Number of respected leaders addressing the topic of violent extremism
Number/Percent of youth/women/men/people participating/trained in XXXX
Percentage increase in diversity of membership
Number of participants at each event or workshop versus anticipated number
Percent increase of imams confident and well-trained to disseminate message of non-violence, moderation, & tolerance
Percent of citizens stating that violence is an effective method to solve problems
Reduced incidents of violence among target population
Number of respected community leaders addressing VE quarterly
Number of youth reached through radio/television civic education programming
Percent of citizens listening to radio/television programs on peace and tolerance or Number of listeners of moderate radio/TV programs
Percent of target group naming a spiritual mentor who is a VE
Perception of trustworthiness of the different programs

RESULT: Partner government and civil society CVE capacities built

Percent of target group believing that for a given crime a powerful perpetrator would be treated identically with a powerless one (including agents of the state)

Percent of target group believing they can file a human rights complaint without fear of reprisal; Percent believing they would obtain justice through the formal system
Change Percent of target group that believes that a) the regime/government and b) civil society are working to improve the welfare of the target community/groups
Percent who state that they have been mistreated by a police officer (verbally or physically) in the last 12 months
Percent believing that the police/prison guards routinely torture/beat/abuse prisoners
Percent of target group believing that the security services use violence against civilian opposition (it is unlikely that such a question could be asked in most repressive environments).
Number of former/reformed VE individuals providing mentoring services to rehabilitating prisoners
Number of initiatives jointly implemented by entities from two different sectors
Number of CSOs demonstrating increased capacity to respond to community needs
Number of prisoners provided services, skills training, basic and vocational education, etc. prior to or upon release from prison
Number/Percent of prisoners reporting change in behavior by police/prison guards towards prisoners
Number/Percent of prisoners reporting increased opportunities for communication/interaction with family members (visits, phone calls, etc.)
Number/Percent of prisoners reporting increased social support interaction (with, e.g., psychologists, social workers, career mentors, religious figures who espouse messages of nonviolence)
Percent of public, private, and civil society sector members stating collaboration with one another
Number/Percent of prison guards/officials trained in techniques for providing reintegration and rehabilitation
Number/Percent of youth/women/men/people participating/trained in XXXX
Partner nations attended, participated in and hosted CVE conferences and workshops
Partner nations signed on to documents that outline CVE good practices